UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOHN D. MURRAY

Attorney at Law 1247 Sussex Turnpike Suite 220 Randolph, NJ 07869 (973) 895-6080 Attorney for Claimant Bianca Murray

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION

as Plaintiff

VS

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC

Adversary No. 08-01789-BRL Claim No. 3140

as Defendant,

In Re: BERNARD L. MADOFF

NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO TRUSTEE'S DENIAL OF CLAIM

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Claimant, Bianca M. Murray, residing at 5 Shannon lane, Blairstown, New Jersey 07825, and having been Assigned Claim No. 3140, by and through her counsel, hereby states her Objection to the Trustee's Denial of Claim in this matter dated October 19, 2009, for the following reasons:

1. From February 1, 19993 through November 30, 2008, the Claimant was a customer of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, LLC. under account 1ZA986 with an account balance of \$180,318.62 as of that date..

- On February 23, 2009, the Claimant timely filed a Claim Form with the appointed SIPC
 Trustee, Irving H. Picard, and was assigned Claim No. 3140 in this matter.
- 3. Applicant's claim of \$180,318.62 for cash and/or securities is based on the SIPC insurance obligation to satisfy each Madoff investor's claim up to \$500,000 in SPIC insurance based upon the customer's "net equity."
- 4. Under 15 USC 78 a et seq (SIPA), the SIPC Trustee is required to "satisfy net equity claims of a failed member institution". SIPA defines "net equity" as the value of the securities positions in the customer's account as of the SIPA filing date, less any amount the customer owes the debtor.
- 5. As of November 30, 2008, the Claimant/customer owed no money to the Debtor, Madoff Investment Securities, LLC.
- 6. On or about October 19, 2009, the Trustee entered a Denial of Claimant's claim.
- 7. The Trustee has failed to adhere to the statutory definition of "net equity", and has thus denied this claim unfairly.

WHEREFORE, the Claimant demands that the Court direct the Trustee to comply with the express language of SIPA and by its legislative intent, and honor the claim in the full amount shown on Claimant's Statement as of November 30, 2008 of \$180,318.62); and further demands

- a) Direct Payment be made to the Claimant by SIPC for the amount of such funds
- b) Awarding counsel fees to the Claimant's counsel.
- c) Setting this matter down for a Hearing
- d) For such other relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

Dated: November 16, 2009

John D. Murray,

Attorney for Claimant

Bianca W. Murray